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Summary

Project Fauna Forever is an environmental research proposal developed by the Research and Monitoring Studies Unit (RAMOS) of the Tambopata Reserve Society (TReeS). TReeS is a not-for-profit conservation organisation that since 1986 has supported biodiversity research, community development, appropriate management of rainforests and environmental education in the Madre de Dios region of south-eastern Peru, with particular reference to the protected areas of Tambopata, i.e. the Tambopata National Reserve and the Bahuaja Sonene National Park. TReeS is a registered UK Charity (No. 298054) and has a registered Peruvian NGO (TReeS-PERU). Biodiversity inventories undertaken in this area since 1979 have provided ample evidence that Tambopata is arguably the most biodiverse corner of Amazonia, if not the Planet. In 1994, as a result, the Peruvian government officially recognised the nearby town of Puerto Maldonado as the Biodiversity Capital of Peru.

Madre de Dios, and particularly the Tambopata area, was the birthplace of nature-based tourism in the Peruvian Amazon. Tourism continues to grow strongly in this area. Tourism activities have the potential for both positive and negative impacts on both an area’s environment and its people.

Project Fauna Forever aims to monitor tourism impacts on local wildlife populations in Tambopata, around 5 jungle lodges, based on field research to be undertaken in 2003 and 2004 and on results obtained during a previous base-line study undertaken by TReeS-RAMOS in 1997 and 1998 at these same locations. The wildlife variables of interest include population abundance, species diversity and community structure. In addition, the project will endeavour to determine “acceptable levels of change” in wildlife abundance around lodges. Results will be used to suggest the most appropriate tourism management techniques to minimise impacts where these are found.

Field research will be undertaken by a team of specialists with assistance provided by both international and Peruvian volunteers – the latter of which will include students of biology from local universities in Puerto Maldonado and Cusco.
The Project will be implemented in four consecutive phases commencing in November 2003 and ending in November 2004, during which each of lodges will be investigated for a total of 52 days.

Results will be analysed throughout the duration of the Project and reports for publication will be completed by the beginning of 2005. A long-term fauna monitoring database and Geographic Information System for Tambopata will be developed to aid future fauna research and monitoring efforts. The Project Team will share information on the results with the area’s lodges, government and tourism decision makers, and at a number of public seminars in Puerto Maldonado, Cusco and Lima. In addition, two radio programs will be commissioned to provide the wider public in Tambopata with information pertaining to the Project, and the Project website will be used to reach an international audience.

This is the first time in Madre de Dios, if not Peru, that tourism impacts on Amazonian wildlife in and around protected areas have been the subject of systematic and long-term monitoring.

Introduction

Exotic undisturbed landscapes, unique cultures, and above all protected areas with abundant wildlife are attracting tourists to developing countries in ever growing numbers (Ceballos-Lascurain 1996). The tourism and hospitality industry in general now contribute a significant percentage of developing country GDP (WTO 1996). In 2000, 193 million tourists visited developing countries leaving revenues of US$ 145 billion in the process (WTO 2002).

The fastest growing tourism sectors in developing countries are nature-based tourism and eco-tourism, and the tour operators making the most of this demand are those offering visits to protected areas such as national parks and reserves. These tend to have the greatest concentrations of rare, charismatic and photogenic fauna, due in large part to widespread utilisation or persecution of fauna outside protected areas, which although a way of life in many rural settings, is not always compatible with tourism. In Amazonia, for instance – a growing tourist destination – many fauna species are habitually and directly impacted upon by local human populations through: subsistence and commercial hunting for bush meat and skins (Peres 1990, 1996, 1999b, Robinson & Redford 1991, Fragoso 1991, Mittermeier 1993, Ascorra 1997, Bodmer et al. 1997, Kirkby & Padilla 1998, Maglianesi 1998, Noss 1998, Smith 1999); persecution of pest species (Rabinowitz & Nottingham 1986), and capture of species for the exotic pet trade. Indirect impacts, in the form of selective logging (Johns et al. 1987, Wallace et al. 1996) and the alteration or destruction of habitats through conversion of forests to agriculture (Barnes et al. 1991) are also important anthropic variables that are currently altering fauna populations and community assemblage across the Amazon basin. This in turn is arguably affecting the long-term stability and viability of this, the largest and most diverse of the Planet’s rainforests.

The effects of certain human activities which aren’t intentionally destructive and don’t directly alter large areas of habitat have been little studied. Tourism is a case in point.
Uncontrolled or unscrupulous tourism can pose a serious threat to both the cultural and natural environments, affecting both the make-up of local societies (Conservation International 1999) and the very wildlife, habitats and protected areas that are the basis of the attraction (Pigram 1980, Boyle & Samson 1985, Boo 1990, Groom 1990, Wallace 1993, Staib & Schenck 1994, Hidinger 1996, Yoon 1997). Negative tourism impacts that have been commonly documented range from erosion; water, air and soil pollution; introduction of alien species and diseases; disruption or destruction of feeding and/or breeding habitats; redistribution of wild populations; local reductions in abundance, and so forth. Environmental problems such as these can lead to long-term impacts at the habitat, community and species level and can put the very future of local tourist industries at stake by reducing the attractiveness of a destination (Mieczkowski 1995, Mihalic 2000).

It must not be forgotten that tourism in and around protected areas has brought much economic benefit to local rural and otherwise isolated communities (Walpole & Goodwin 2000, Kirkby 2002a), and via the use of park or reserve entrance fees has also contributed to the administration, management and conservation of such areas (Kirkby 2002b). Tourism in many cases offers local people an opportunity to develop alternative non-consumptive uses of wildlife (Fernandez & Kirkby 2002) in and around protected areas, where generally local people have had to forfeit extraction of natural resources including fauna from these areas.

The trade off between economic development and negative tourism impacts is currently a much discussed issue in Peru, particularly amongst tour operators, protected area managers, non governmental organisations and centres of higher education. Due largely to a lack of long-term studies of the issue, there is still much debate regarding the importance and magnitude of tourism related impacts on the natural environment and how best to deal with these impacts when, or if, they arise.

AIMS

This proposal builds upon the results of a base line study undertaken by one of the authors (Kirkby et al. 2000) between 1997-1998 in and around the rainforests of the Tambopata National Reserve in south-eastern Peru. It aims to:

1. Investigate the long-term changes in relative abundance and biomass of wildlife, species diversity, and community structure in forest subject to constant pressure from tourists and activities directly related to this industry, and in comparable forest well away from the influence of tourism;
2. Determine acceptable levels of change in faunal populations around jungle lodges based on the opinions of tourists, lodge personnel, protected area administrators, Neotropical fauna specialists, and the general public;
3. Identify appropriate tourist management techniques, including trail-use carrying capacities and optimum tourist group size, which both tour companies and protected area administrators should consider to mitigate impacts if these are found;
4. Determine detailed levels of Beta diversity, that is to say the degree to which species diversity varies between locations;
5. Develop a long-term fauna monitoring database and Geographical Information System for Tambopata to be stored at the Tambopata Reserve Society headquarters.
in Peru, enabling information from past and future fauna studies in the area to be integrated and compared;

6. Train a series of local biologists, mainly undergraduate students from the National Amazon University of Madre de Dios (UNAMAD) and the National University San Antonio Abad of Cusco (UNSAAC), in methodologies for monitoring Neotropical fauna populations, including techniques in database and GIS management;

7. Divulge results and recommendations to tour operators, local authorities and institutions, the local population, and the scientific community at large, through a local radio program, a public seminar, and publications in Spanish (to be distributed locally and nationally), and a peer-reviewed scientific article in English to be published in an appropriate international journal.

The project will be Directed by the Research and Monitoring Studies Unit (RAMOS) of the Tambopata Reserve Society (TReeS). TReeS is a not-for-profit organisation and UK Charity (No. 298054) that has been active in Tambopata since 1986. TReeS-Peru is its registered counterpart in Peru.

Tourism and Protected Areas in Peru

In 2002, Peru hosted 1 million foreign tourists, just under half of which visited the city of Cusco in the Andean highlands – the imperial city of the Incas – and its associated archaeological sites such as Saqsayhuaman, the Sacred valley, and the Inca Trail which leads to the centre-piece of Peruvian tourism – Machupicchu. Machupicchu is located within the Machupicchu National Sanctuary, one of the 56 protected areas in Peru which include national parks, historical sanctuaries, national reserves, communal reserves, reserved zones, and state reserves for indigenous indians, all of which are centrally managed and controlled by the National Institute for Natural Resources (INRENA) through the National System of Protected Areas (known as SINANPE) with funding provided through bilateral agreements, including debt for nature swaps; natural resource extraction concessions (brazil nuts, commercial fishing, etc.) and tourism entrance fees.

Madre de Dios, and particularly the Tambopata region (Fig. 1), was the birthplace of nature-based tourism in the Peruvian Amazon (1970s) and remains so to this day (Groom et al. 1991, Palacio 1999, Gunther personal communication). A detailed history of tourism development in Tambopata and of particular lodges can be found in Duellman & Koechlin (1991), Groom et al. (1991), CDC (1995), Stronza (1996), GESUREMAD (1997), Palacio (1999), CTAR-Inka (1998), Foulks 2000, Kirkby et al. (2000), and Hurtado (2001). In 2002, a total of 25,372 tourists visited Madre de Dios, the majority of which visited Tambopata (22,260), due to its excellent air transport links with Cusco, whilst the remainder visited Manu (2,877) and Tahuamanu (235).
Fig. 1. Location of the Tambopata National Reserve (TNR), Bahuaja Sonene National Park (BSNP), Buffer Zone (BZ) and other protected areas in Madre de Dios; □ = tourist establishments; NC = native community; MNP = Manu National Park; ACR = Amarakaeri Communal Reserve; APRZ = Alto Purus Reserved Zone; SRVIN = State Reserve for Voluntarily Isolated Natives; LACC = Los Amigos Conservation Concession.
Fig. 2. The Vilcabamba–Amboro Rainforest Corridor (after Conservation International 2002). 1 = Ashaninka Comunal Reserve; 2 = Otishi National Park; 3 = Machiguenga Comunal Reserve; 4 = Alto Purus Reserved Zone; 5 = Manu National Park; 6 = Amarakaeri Comunal Reserve; 7 = Historic Sanctuary of Machupicchu; 8 = Tambopata National Reserve; 9 = Bahuaja Sonene National Park; 10 = Madidi National Park; 11 = Apolobamba Biosphere Reserve; 12 = Pilón Lajas Biosphere Reserve; 13 = Cotapata National Park; 14 = Isiboro Secure National Park; 15 = Carrasco National Park; 16 = Amboró National Park; 17 = Los Amigos Conservation Concession.
Study Area

PROTECTED AREAS
The protected areas at the centre of the this proposal are the Tambopata National Reserve (TNR), created in 2001 with an area of 274,690 hectares, and the Bahuaja Sonene National Park (BSNP), first created in 1996 and subsequently extended in 2001 with a current area of 1.09 million hectares (Fig. 1). Both protected areas supersede the Tambopata Candamo Reserved Zone (TCRZ) which was created in 1990. Both derive their name from the Tambopata River which the local native Ese’eja people call Bahuaja. This river is born high up in the Andes in the Sandia region of Puno and subsequently flows into the Madre de Dios River at Puerto Maldonado.

BIODIVERSITY
Biodiversity inventories since 1979 have provided ample evidence that Tambopata is arguably the most diverse corner of Amazonia and Puerto Maldonado was officially recognised by the Peruvian government as the Biodiversity Capital of the nation in 1994. Tambopata is home to approximately 7% of the world’s bird species (~600) and 4% of the world’s mammal species (~160). It is thought that the high levels of biodiversity observed are the result of the fact that Tambopata lies in a transitional zone between humid tropical and subtropical rainforest (ONERN 1972); the altitudinal gradient from north to south varies from 200 m to 2,000 m; precipitation varies considerably from year to year (mean 2,400 mm); rapid changes in temperature are common during the dry season (April-October), with a minimum of 8 °C a maximum of 34 °C and a mean of 24 °C; island savannah ecosystems are present to the east (Pampas del Heath); and there is biological evidence to suggest that Tambopata was once a Pleistocene “refugium” for many species. Conservation International has identified Peru as a “megadiverse” country and the Tambopata region as an important international biodiversity “hotspot”.
PROPOSAL

ENDANGERED SPECIES
Tambopata is home to 14 vertebrates listed in the IUCN Red Data Book and considered highly endangered in the rest of their range: Giant anteater (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla*), Giant armadillo (*Priodontes maximus*), Bush dog (*Speothus venaticus*), Small-eared dog (*Atelocynus microtis*), Giant river otter (*Pteronura brasiliensis*), Jaguarundi (*Herpailurus yaguarundi*), Ocelot (*Leopardus pardalis*), Jaguar (*Panthera onca*), Spectacled bear (*Tremarctos ornatus*), Crested eagle (*Morphous guianensis*), Harpy eagle (*Harpia harpyja*), Black caiman (*Melanosuchus niger*), Spectacled caiman (*Caiman crocodilus*), and Yellow-spotted side-necked turtle (*Podocnemis unifilis*).

RAINFOREST CORRIDOR
Tambopata is now the centre piece of the Vilcabamba–Amboro Rainforest Corridor (Conservation International 2002) – a string of 17 virtually interconnected protected areas that run from Vilcabamba in central Peru to Amboro in central Bolivia, for a distance of 1,000 km (Fig. 2).

TOURISM DEVELOPMENT
Tambopata is a recognised tourist destination in its own right. In 2002, 22,260 tourists visited the area, each staying for an average of 2.1 nights (Fig. 3). Tourism in Tambopata is characterised to a great extent by large static lodge-based operations or tented camps with their associated clearings, infrastructure, trail network, guides, motorised river transport, etc. (Appendix 1). Such operations are currently a growing phenomenon. In 1989, there were 3 lodges. Today, there are 29 (Fig. 1). Tourism in Tambopata currently generates USD 2 million in local direct and indirect earnings in and around the town of Puerto Maldonado.

THE LODGES
The particular jungle lodges of interest in this study, include: 1. Explorer’s Inn (EI); 2. Reserva Amazonica (RA), which used to be called Cusco Amazónico Pueblo Hotel; 3. EcoAmazonía Lodge (ECO); 4. Sachavacayoc (SACHA); and 5. Tambopata Research Center (TRC). Together they cater for more than 60% of the tourists that visit Tambopata.

EXPLORERS’ INN (EI)
This lodge is located on the southern bank of the Tambopata River (12°50´15´´S, 69°17´30´´W) at the confluence with the La Torre River at a distance of 30 km SSW of Puerto Maldonado (Fig. 1). The EI was built and began operating in 1975. It was the first tourism venture to operate along the Tambopata River at the time. In 1977, the Peruvian government granted Protected Area status to 5.5 km² of rainforest around the lodge which became known as the Tambopata Reserve Zone (Stewart 1988). Through its Resident Naturalist Program, which offered free room and board to graduate biologists in exchange for guiding guests, the EI has been very successful in combining tourism with research. Investigations undertaken in the late 1970s and early 1980s found that this area is unique and harbours the highest known levels of biodiversity, for any similar sized locality, on the Planet. The 5.5 km² of lowland rainforest around the lodge holds numerous biodiversity world records: Birds 596 species (Parker et al. 1994); Butterflies 1,234 (Lamas 1985, 1994); Dragonflies and Damselflies 151 (Paulson 1985); Horseflies 73 (Wilkerson & Fairchild 1985) and Tiger beetles 29 (Pearson 1985). The creation of successive protected
areas, namely the Tambopata Candamo Reserved Zone and now the TNR and BSNP, would likely not have been possible without the original scientific studies undertaken at EI. The total length of the trail system habitually used by tourists at this lodge is 35 km, and is the oldest and most extensive in the area. Initial forest type classification around the lodge was based largely on hydrological and soil characteristics. Later, Nicholson & Phillips (unpub.) and Phillips (1993) further developed the classification by presenting full forest type descriptions with new information on floristic characters. Further floristic descriptions of the area were undertaken by Conservation International (1994). A total of nine distinct forest types can be clearly recognised.

RESERVA AMAZONICA (RA)
This lodge is located on the northern bank of the Madre de Dios River (12°32′30″S, 69°03′20″W) at a distance of 15 km ENE of Puerto Maldonado (Fig. 1). The RA was built and began operating in 1976, shortly after the EI. It was the first tourist lodge to be built along the Madre de Dios River. As in the case of EI, in 1977 the government approved the formation of a reserve around the lodge totalling 10 km². The status of the reserve prohibited the extraction or conversion of natural resources by the local inhabitants. However, in 1987 the government failed to renew the reserve status and subsequently previously forested lands close to the lodge were colonised and are now farmed. As in EI, scientific investigations of mammals, birds, herpetofauna and vegetation was also undertaken periodically (Duellman 1987, Davis et al. 1991, Duellman & Salas 1991, Woodman et. al. 1991). Such research, complementary to that undertaken at the EI, further affirmed the biodiversity importance of the Tambopata area. The trail system used by tourists is one of the oldest, although at 5 km it is the least extensive in the area.

ECOAMAZONIA LODGE (ECO)
This lodge is located on the northern bank of the Madre de Dios River (12°31′45″S, 68°56′10″W), downstream from RA at a distance of 28 km ENE of Puerto Maldonado (Fig. 1). ECO was constructed in 1993. Little scientific research has been undertaken at this lodge, although Kirkby et al. (2000) documented several species that are new to Tambopata or otherwise very rare. The trail system is 15 km long.

SACHAVACAYOC (SACHA)
The Sachavacayoc area has two neighbouring lodges, separated by barely 300 m (Sachavacayoc Centre and Sachavaca Inn). These are located on the southern bank of the Tambopata River (12°51′15″S, 69°22′00″W) at a distance of 35 km SSW of Puerto Maldonado (Fig. 1). The Sachavacayoc Centre was constructed in 1994 by Newton College, an independent international school in Lima, as a centre for conservation and education. The Centre caters mainly for student groups, who stay for 7 days. The Sachavaca Inn is a relatively recent construction, finished in 1997, and caters for general tourists. A small amount of research has been carried out in the forest shared by both lodges although to date no comprehensive inventories have been completed. The lodges have a common trail system consisting of a total of 18 km. The trail system is also used relatively often by tour groups from the Tambopata Jungle Lodge, located 5 km upstream.

TAMBOPATA RESEARCH CENTER (TRC)
This lodge is located on the western bank of the Tambopata River (13°08′10″S,
69°36’40”W) at a distance of 75 km SSW of Puerto Maldonado (Fig. 1) in an isolated area of the TNR bordering the BSNP. It was constructed in 1989, within 200 m of a macaw clay lick. Initial activities at this time were dedicated to macaw research (Nycander et al. 1995), although as of 1994 the principle activity switched to tourism. A significant amount of research has been undertaken at this site over the last 15 years and comprehensive inventories of some taxa have been undertaken (Emmons & Romo 1994, Rodriguez & Emmons 1994, Ascorra 1995, Salas 1995). The trail system is 12 km long. The habitats at the TRC have been described in depth by numerous authors (Foster et al. 1994; Kratter, 1995ab, 1997, Kirkby et al. 2000). Importantly, no permanent settlements exist within 40 km of this lodge and hunting pressure is nil.

Methodology & Analysis

TAXONOMIC GROUPS OF INTEREST
The backbone of the proposed biological monitoring program focuses on comparing the relative abundance, density, biomass and diversity of fauna species, as well as community structure, between areas used for tourism (treatment) and areas not used for tourism (control). The main impact factors of interest are trails regularly used by tourists and lodge staff, and the lodges themselves. The taxonomic groups of interest in this study, include: 1. Mammals; 2. Birds; 3. Amphibians; and 4. Reptiles. Many species within these groups are important natural tourist attractions in their own right, such that the data to be collected will also be of direct interest to lodge administrators, guides and tour companies servicing the Tambopata area.

MAMMALS
In order to assess the relative abundance and absolute density of mammals in treatment and control areas (Fig. 5), including species diversity and community structure, three methods will be employed: transect sampling; footprint counts; and counts of foraging signs (scrapings).

Transect sampling is a commonly used technique for studying mammal populations in forested environments and is discussed in depth by Janzen & Terborgh (1980), Burnham et al. (1980), Terborgh (1983), Whitesides et al. (1988), Brockelman et al. (1987), Buckland et al. (1993), and Peres (1999a). In 1997, parts of the trail system used for tourist excursions were identified and marked for use as treatment transects at each of the 5 lodges. A series of complementary control transects were opened afresh, but well away from areas influenced by tourism. Care was taken to site all transects within floodplain forest to minimise variations due to habitat. The number and combined length of tourist and control transects are summarised in Table 1. The same transects used in 1997-98 will be resampled on this occasion.
Table 1. Number and combined length of tourist and control transects at each lodge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lodge</th>
<th>Tourist Transsects</th>
<th>Control Transsects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of transects</td>
<td>Combined length (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SACHA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.739</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The same transects will be reused on this occasion. Transect sampling will involve two observers, who will walk slowly and quietly along the centre-line of a transect at a rate of approximately 1 km/hr, stopping periodically to listen for the presence of mammals. On observing an individual, whether solitary or part of a social group, up to 5 minutes will be spent in situ recording data, such as: species; initial detection method (visual or auditory); time of day; height of the individual observed above the forest floor (m) in the case of arboreal species; distance along transect (m); straight-line observer-animal distance (m) to first animal sighted; sighting angle (degrees); number of individuals in group; group width (m); duration of visual contact (minutes); behaviour; presence or absence of young juveniles; and general comments. Some of these variables are illustrated in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Schematic diagram of an encounter with a hypothetical mammal group of four individuals, depicting key data types: AD = Straight-line observer-animal distance to first animal sighted; A = Angle between transect and nearest mammal; G = Group Spread (m); P = Perpendicular detection distance from transect to nearest mammal, P1 = Perpendicular detection distance from transect to centre of mammal group, determined through trigonometry (Equation 3.1).

Detection distances are measured accurately to the nearest metre using a 50 m tape measure. Transect sampling is initiated between 0500 - 0800 hrs and ends between 0700 – 1100 hrs, depending on the location and length of the transect. In addition, transects will also be conducted after dark to obtain baseline information for nocturnal species, which has not been done before. Sampling is abandoned if rain falls continuously for more than 30 minutes. However, during short interruptions for rain (<30 minutes) observers remain stationary and complete the census after the rain has stopped. Consecutive sampling along any one transect is undertaken are separated in time by at least 24 hours and direction of travel is reversed where possible.
Distance data generated by transect sampling can now be rapidly and effectively analysed using powerful statistical and computational resources (Laake et al. 1994, Krebs 1999) which the authors are familiar with.

Footprint counts will be undertaken at specific sites adjacent to treatment and control transects where the ground conditions are such that footprints are easily left by passing mammals. These sites will be individually marked and assessed for footprints on a daily basis. After each data collection visit all footprints will be erased. Patterns and differences between treatment and control sites will be analysed using parametric and non-parametric tests.

Counts of foraging signs will be made along a series of marked 100-m long lengths of treatment and control transect and within a distance of 2 m either side of the transects in each case. Such foraging signs, in the form of earth scrapings, are commonly made by large rodents such as agouti (*Dasyprocta variegata*) and paca (*Agouti paca*), as well as peccaries (*Tayassu tajacu* and *T. pecari*) and armadillos (*Dasypus* sp.).

![Fig. 5. Schematic diagram showing layout of study areas around a typical lodge for the 3 taxonomic groups.](image)

**HERPETOFAUNA (REPTILES & AMPHIBIANS)**
In order to assess the abundance and density of reptiles and amphibians two principle
PROPOSAL

sampling methods will be utilised: quadrat counts, and visual encounter surveys. These will be undertaken within 4 previously sited 1-ha study plots set across tourist trails (treatments) and in forest well away from such trails (control, Fig. 5).

The quadrat method has been shown to be one of the most effective herpetofauna sampling techniques (Jaeger & Inger 1994, Crump and Scott 1994). In 1997, at each of the five lodges, 56 quadrats each measuring 8x8 m were placed in two of the 1 ha plots, one treatment and one control plot. On each occasion, four observers will intensively search each quadrat simultaneously, with 80% of quadrats searched at night and 20% during the day, due to the largely nocturnal habits of this fauna group. Observers will search for reptiles and amphibians through the leaf litter and on branches, trunks, and leaves up to a height of 2 m. Each quadrat will only be searched once. Each individual encountered will be captured, measured to the nearest 0.1 mm with callipers, weighed on a Pesola spring scale to the nearest 0.25 grams and subsequently released at the point of capture. Also recorded will be substrate and height at which individuals were encountered. Environmental variables at each quadrat are also recorded, including air temperature, percentage cloud cover, diameter of all trees greater than 10 cm dbh, and relative leaf litter depth.

Visual encounter surveys will consist of censusing herpetofauna along 24 parallel 100m-long line transects which were previously laid out within the other two plots. Transects will be censused during both nocturnal and daylight periods. All reptiles and amphibians encountered will be captured and processed as above.

BIRDS

In order to assess the abundance and density of bird species in treatment and control areas, two principle sampling methods will be utilised: point counts using the Virtual Circular Plot Method, and mist-net capture (Fig. 5).

Point counts are commonly used to survey diurnal bird species and is a method that has been frequently used for studying birds (Reynolds et al. 1980, Buckland et al. 1993, Jones et al. 1995, Marsden et al. 1997, Marsden & Jones 1997, Lloyd et al. 1998) and is a particularly effective method when it comes to inconspicuous species or where visibility is restricted – as is the case in rainforests. In 1997, between 39 and 24 point count stations were identified at each of the five lodges, with virtually equal numbers of point count stations located along treatment and control trails. Point counts will begin at 0500 hrs and will conclude at 0830 hrs - after this time bird activity decreases significantly. This method requires that two observers spend 10 minutes at each station recording all bird contacts, visual and auditory. Observers note the time of any contact, species, and the number of individuals in each case. Each contact is also assigned to one of five height categories: 1 = ground level (< 1 metre); 2 = understory (1 – 5 m); 3 = mid-canopy (5 – 15 m); 4 = canopy; 5 = flying above the canopy. When a bird is seen the observer will accurately record the horizontal distance from the centre of the station to the bird. For species that habitually occur in monotypic flocks, such as the parrot species, distances will be recorded from the station to the centre of the flock.

For the more furtive, cryptic and less vocal understory species which can still be under-
represented in point count surveys, mist-netting will be employed. This technique is described in detail by Terborgh & Weske (1975), Karr (1976, 1979), and Lovejoy et al. (1986). Nine mist nets will be located at each of 3 stations, with 3 nets erected at each station in a straight line. Each net will be 2 m high and 12 m long. Nets will be opened at 0600 hrs and closed at 1100 hrs. For each individual bird captured, the following variables will be recorded: date, time, census station, net number, species, sex, age (adult or juvenile), wing length, tail length, culmen length, tarsus length, and weight. Birds will also be marked using plastic coloured and numbered leg rings.

MONITORING PERIOD
Each lodge will be studied on 4 separate occasions from November 2003 through November 2004, for a period of 13 days on each occasion, twice during the rainy season and twice during the dry season, for a total of 52 days each. The order of visits will be: EI, SACHA, TRC, RA and ECO.

TOURIST TRAIL-USE
Information collected from lodge administrators, guides, and notes taken during visits to the lodges will be used to calculate tourist trail-use for each of the sections of tourist trails under investigation. Data on tourist group size will also be collected in this manner.

TRAIL-USE CARRYING CAPACITY & OPTIMUM GROUP SIZE
Where significant differences in abundance exist between tourist and control areas for any particular species, and/or where there is a strong significant correlation between abundance and tourist trail-use intensity or tourist group size, the data will be used to determine appropriate trail-use carrying capacities and optimum tourist group sizes for each lodge.

ACCEPTABLE LEVELS OF CHANGE
Acceptable levels of human-induced change in local wildlife populations around tourist lodges will be obtained using questionnaires directed at visiting tourists, lodge personnel, protected area administrators, Neotropical fauna specialists, and the general public of Puerto Maldonado. This information will be compared to our biological findings and subsequently used to improve tourism management techniques such as trail-use carrying capacity.

Geographic Information System
A GPS will be used to obtain geo-referenced data of the transects, quadrats, point count stations and other data collection points at each lodge. This information, in conjunction with an MS Access database of results, will be used to show the geographical distribution of sample sites, in addition to illustrating abundance, diversity and community structure characteristics for each lodge. This database could also be enriched with information currently available from other faunal studies in the Tambopata area, as a means of better understanding the bigger picture when it comes to fauna conservation in the region – a task likely to be undertaken by the authors during the post-project period.
TReeS-RAMOS and the Project Team

The Project will be directed by the Research and Monitoring Studies Unit of the Tambopata Reserve Society (TReeS-RAMOS). TReeS is a not-for-profit conservation organisation that since 1986 has supported initiatives dealing with appropriate management of rainforests and biodiversity research in the Madre de Dios region of south-eastern Peru, with particular reference to the protected areas of Tambopata. Between 1997 and 1998, TReeS-RAMOS undertook Project Tambopata – the first instance of tourism impact monitoring in Peru, and which will be the baseline survey against which Project Fauna Forever data will be compared.

The project team will be led by 5 specialists: Chris Kirkby - Director of TReeS-RAMOS; Alan Lee – Mammal and International Co-ordinator; Karen Tailby - Project Manager; one Bird Co-ordinator (Peruvian – name to be confirmed) and one Herpetofauna Co-ordinator (Peruvian – name to be confirmed). Each co-ordinator will be assisted by between 2 and 4 assistants. International assistants will contribute towards project costs. Peruvian assistants will be invited to participate free of charge. The team will be based out of the town of Puerto Maldonado (Fig. 1).

Research Permits

Permits to allow research in protected areas are currently being obtained from the National Institute for Natural Resources (INRENA) – the government body responsible for administrating protected areas in Peru.

Budget

The budget for this project has been calculated at USD 78,676.00 (Table 2). This amount also covers pre-project set-up costs (April – October 2003), as well as post-project and publication costs (November 2004 – January 2005).

Table 2. Budget.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEMS</th>
<th>TOTAL (USD)</th>
<th>TOTAL (SOLES)</th>
<th>TOTAL (UK£)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Project Set-up costs</td>
<td>1,725</td>
<td>6,038</td>
<td>1,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenses (mainly field equipment)</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>11,690</td>
<td>2,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overheads (administration, internal transport)</td>
<td>5,816</td>
<td>20,355</td>
<td>3,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food &amp; Accommodation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-ordinators</td>
<td>10,584</td>
<td>37,044</td>
<td>7,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peruvian Assistants</td>
<td>10,584</td>
<td>37,044</td>
<td>7,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Assistants</td>
<td>21,168</td>
<td>74,088</td>
<td>14,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remuneration: Co-ordinators and Manager</td>
<td>23,700</td>
<td>82,950</td>
<td>15,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Project &amp; Publication costs</td>
<td>1,760</td>
<td>6,160</td>
<td>1,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>78,676</strong></td>
<td><strong>275,369</strong></td>
<td><strong>52,451</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix 1: Photos.

View over Tambopata River from canopy tower in native Community of Infierno. Brazil-nut (*Bertholletia excelsa*) tree in foreground (photo A. Farris).

Jaguar (*Pathera onca*) tracks in soft mud at the Tambopata Research Center (photo A. Farris).

Tambopata Research Center (photo C. Kirkby).

Blue-and-yellow macaws (*Ara ararauna*, left) and Scarlet macaws (*A. macao*, right), Tambopata Research Center (photo A. Farris).


Emerald tree boa (*Corallus caninus*) (photo R. Masias).